

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

MARK T. HOLCOMB,

Plaintiff,

vs.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Case No. 1:18-cv-191

OPINION & ORDER
[Resolving Doc. [16](#)]

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

In August 2014, Plaintiff Mark Holcomb applied for period of disability benefits, disability insurance benefits, and supplemental security income.¹

The Social Security Administration denied his application initially and on reconsideration.² At Holcomb's request, an administrative law judge ("ALJ") considered his case.³ The ALJ concluded that Plaintiff Holcomb was not disabled.⁴ The Social Security Appeals Council denied Plaintiff's request for review.⁵

Holcomb then brought this suit, asking the Court to reverse the ALJ's decision.⁶ He argues that the ALJ failed to give proper weight to his treating physician's opinion and erred in the vocational analysis.⁷ Magistrate Judge Ruiz issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that the Court affirm the ALJ's decision.⁸

¹ Doc. [8](#) at 267, 274.

² *Id.* at 100–23, 125–49, 152–65, 166–79.

³ *See id.* at 41–81.

⁴ *Id.* at 18–35.

⁵ *Id.* at 5.

⁶ Doc. [1](#).

⁷ Doc. [10](#).

⁸ Doc. [16](#).

If a party had objected to this R&R, the Court would consider the objected-to portions *de novo*.⁹ However, because neither party has objected, the Court may adopt the R&R without review.¹⁰

Moreover, the Court has conducted its own review of the briefing and record and agrees with Judge Ruiz—the evidence sufficiently supported the ALJ’s conclusion.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Ruiz’s R&R and **AFFIRMS** the ALJ’s decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 8, 2019

s/ *James S. Gwin*
JAMES S. GWIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

⁹ 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

¹⁰ *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).